UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,938	08/04/2003	Kevin A. Kelly	D24-1d	5790
Eugene F. Fried		EXAMINER		
FRIEDMAN &	FRIEDMAN, LTD.	- 250	NGUYEN	, TAM M
	_	250	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60		3764		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This 31 ■ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the state of th	AY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHO DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Examiner Tam Nguyen pears on the cover sheet with the cover sheet shee	Art Unit 3764 correspondence address (S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, N. mely filed In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Examiner Tam Nguyen pears on the cover sheet with the cover sheet shee	Art Unit 3764 correspondence address (S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, N. mely filed In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 31 Since this application is in condition for allowa	Tam Nguyen pears on the cover sheet with the cover sheet will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cover sheet application to become ABANDONE	3764 correspondence address (S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, N. mely filed In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 31 Since this application is in condition for allowa	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHO DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time, cause the application to become ABANDONE	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, N. mely filed In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa		
	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 128-134,145-156,171-182,198-209,2 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 23,128-134,145-156,171-182,198-20 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accor	own from consideration. 29,212 and 228 is/are rejected. or election requirement.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	•
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	· ·	y (PTO-413) Date

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 128 and 129 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Szpur (Figure 10 dated January 7, 2004).

1. As to claims 128 and 129, Szpur discloses a method of performing cardiopulmonary resuscitation ("CPR") on a patient comprising wrapping a belt (282) with first and second opposite extremities around a patient's torso as claimed, fastening the belt to a power unit (280) and placing an actuator, having a first and second state, into a first state wherein power is provided to the actuator to tighten the belt around the patient's torso and repeating periodically the first state and the second state (see Fig. 10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 130-134 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Szpur view of Barkalow et al. (4,273,114).

Application/Control Number: 10/633,938

Art Unit: 3764

2. As to claims 130-134, Szpur discloses a method of performing CPR as described above (see discussion of claim 129). Szpur does not disclose that the method further includes defibrillating the chest of the patient undergoing resuscitation, detecting when the belt has placed the patient's chest under maximal compression and inducing a defibrillating electric current at that time wherein two spaced outer chest surfaces are contacted with first and second electrodes. Barkalow discloses an apparatus and inherently a method of performing CPR that includes defibrillating the chest of a patient undergoing resuscitation, detecting when the patient's chest is under maximal compression and inducing a defibrillating electric current at that time wherein two spaced outer chest surfaces are contacted with first and second electrodes (48,75) (see Fig. 6, ABSTRACT & Col. 8, lines 13-45). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Barkalow's step of simultaneous compression and defibrillation to Szpur's CPR method since the compression would shorten the electrical path to the heart thereby reducing the power required to defibrillate the patient's heart.

Page 3

Claims 145, 146, 152 and 153 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Szpur in view of Szpur (5,407,418).

3. As to claims 145, 146, 152 and 153, Szpur disclose a method of performing CPR on a patient comprising wrapping a belt (282) with first and second opposite extremities around a patient's torso as substantially claimed, fastening the belt to an apparatus and moving the belt extremities, with a powered belt tightener (286), in directions to tighten the belt around the patient's chest and periodically tightening the belt wherein the belt is

tightened substantially equally around the patient's left and right sides and the belt tightener includes an electric motor (280) (see Fig. 10). Szpur does not disclose that the belt tightener receives a signal to move the belt extremities. Szpur '418 discloses a similar apparatus and thus method wherein a signal is provided to a belt tightener to move belt extremities to tighten a belt around a user (see Col. 4, lines 18-47). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Szpur's '418 signaling means, which includes a timer module, to Szpur's method such that the timing for the tightening and loosening of the belt around a patient can be automated to simplify the CPR process.

Claims 147-151 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Szpur in view Szpur '418 and Barkalow et al. (4,273,114).

4. As to claims 147-151, Szpur and Szpur '418 disclose a modified method of performing CPR as described above (see discussion of claims 145 and 146). Szpur does not disclose that the method further includes defibrillating the chest of the patient undergoing resuscitation, detecting when the belt has placed the patient's chest under maximal compression and inducing a defibrillating electric current at that time wherein two spaced outer chest surfaces are contacted with first and second electrodes.

Barkalow discloses an apparatus and inherently a method of performing CPR that includes defibrillating the chest of a patient undergoing resuscitation, detecting when the patient's chest is under maximal compression and inducing a defibrillating electric current at that time wherein two spaced outer chest surfaces are contacted with first and second electrodes (48,75) (see Fig. 6, ABSTRACT & Col. 8, lines 13-45). At the time of

Art Unit: 3764

the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Barkalow's step of simultaneous compression and defibrillation to Szpur's CPR method since the compression would shorten the electrical path to the heart thereby reducing the power required to defibrillate the patient's heart.

Claims 171, 172, 178, 179, 198, 199, 205, 206 and 212 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Szpur in view of Szpur '418.

As to claims 171, 172, 178, 179, 198, 199, 205, 206 and 212, Szpur discloses a method of performing CPR on a patient comprising wrapping a belt (282) with first and second opposite extremities around a patient's torso as substantially claimed and fastening the belt to an electric motor power unit (280) wherein power is supplied in regular periodic intervals to the power unit to repeatedly tighten the belt around the patient's torso equally around the patient's left and right sides (see Fig. 10). Szpur does not disclose that the apparatus/power unit is adapted to receive power from an electrical source via a cable/line. Szpur '418 discloses a similar apparatus and thus method wherein the apparatus is adapted to receive power via a cable/line (128) (see Fig. 3). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Szpur's '418 cable with Szpur's apparatus so that power can be transferred to the power unit such that the apparatus can be readily usable anywhere there is a power socket.

Claims 173-177 and 200-204 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Szpur in view of Szpur '418 and Barkalow et al. (4,273,114).

Art Unit: 3764

6. As to claims 173-177 and 200-204, Szpur ans Szpur '418 disclose a modified method of performing CPR as described above (see discussion of claims 171 and 172, and 198 and 199 respectively). Szpur does not disclose that the method further includes defibrillating the chest of the patient undergoing resuscitation, detecting when the belt has placed the patient's chest under maximal compression and inducing a defibrillating electric current at that time wherein two spaced outer chest surfaces are contacted with first and second electrodes. Barkalow discloses an apparatus and inherently a method of performing CPR that includes defibrillating the chest of a patient undergoing resuscitation, detecting when the patient's chest is under maximal compression and inducing a defibrillating electric current at that time wherein two spaced outer chest surfaces are contacted with first and second electrodes (48,75) (see Fig. 6, ABSTRACT & Col. 8, lines 13-45). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Barkalow's step of simultaneous compression and defibrillation to Szpur's CPR method since the compression would shorten the electrical path to the heart thereby reducing the power required to defibrillate the patient's heart.

Claims 228-235 and 239 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Szpur in view of Barkalow et al. (4,273,114).

7. As to claim 228-235 and 239, Szpur discloses a method of performing CPR on a patient comprising wrapping a belt (282) around a patient's chest and periodically moving the belt in a direction to tighten the belt around the patient's chest to place the chest under compression by an electric motor (280) wherein the belt is tightened

Application/Control Number: 10/633,938

Art Unit: 3764

substantially equally around the patient's left and right sides (see Fig. 10). Szpur does not disclose that the method further includes periodically defibrillating the chest of the patient undergoing resuscitation, detecting when the belt has placed the patient's chest under maximal compression and inducing a defibrillating electric current at that time wherein two spaced outer chest surfaces are contacted with first and second electrodes. Barkalow discloses an apparatus and inherently a method of performing CPR that includes defibrillating the chest of a patient undergoing resuscitation, detecting when the patient's chest is under maximal compression and inducing a defibrillating electric current at that time wherein two spaced outer chest surfaces are contacted with first and second electrodes (48,75) (see Fig. 6, ABSTRACT & Col. 8, lines 13-45). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Barkalow's step of simultaneous compression and defibrillation to Szpur's CPR method since the compression would shorten the electrical path to the heart thereby reducing the power required to defibrillate the patient's heart.

Page 7

Claims 154-156, 180-182 and 207-209 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Szpur in view of Szpur '418.

8. As to claims 154-156, 180-182, and 207-209, Szpur and Szpur '418 disclose a modified method of performing CPR as described above (see discussion of claims 152, 178, 205 and 234 respectively). Szpur does not disclose that the belt tightener includes a fluid-pressure motor, a hydraulic motor or a pneumatic motor. The examiner takes Official Notice that the prior art includes medical devices that used a variety of motors for actuation of various components. At the time of the invention, it would have been

obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use any of an array of motors including those disclosed by the instant invention since they all provide a readily useable and portable actuation force.

Claims 236-238 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Szpur in view of Barkalow et al. (4,273,114).

9. As to claims 236-238, Szpur ans Barkalow disclose a modified method of performing CPR as described above (see discussion of claim 234 respectively). Szpur does not disclose that the belt tightener includes a fluid-pressure motor, a hydraulic motor or a pneumatic motor. The examiner takes Official Notice that the prior art includes medical devices that use a variety of motors for actuation of various components. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use any of an array of motors including those disclosed by the instant invention since they all provide a readily useable and portable actuation force.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments regarding the finality of the April 11, 2007 Office Action is persuasive; thus the finality of that action is removed and the amendments received July 11, 2007 have been entered.

Claim 239 was rejected but the Examiner inadvertently failed to reference the claim number in the rejection in Paragraph 10 of the April 11, 2007 Office Action; however, the limitations of claim 239 are clearly discussed and rejected in the

paragraph 10 of that action. It is noted that claim 239 is discussed in paragraph 7 of the instant Office Action.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tam Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4979. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cary O'Connor can be reached on 571-272-4715. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

July 31, 2007

Tam M. Nguye

Art Unit 3764

LORI AMERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER